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Outline for Lecture 2

• Reflect on Chapter 1
• Run through working example: Police Body Cams
  • Apply concepts from Chapter 1, particularly threat modeling
• Begin OS Security
Lessons from history in Lecture 1

- Security is very, very, hard, even for well-resourced, motivated organizations.
- We need tools and techniques to systematize our thinking rather than scattershot approaches.
- Chapter 1 begins doing this!
1. **Fundamental goals** of computer security
2. Computer security **policies** and **attacks**
3. **Risk**, risk assessment, and modeling expected losses
4. **Adversary modeling** and security analysis
5. **Threat modeling**: diagrams, trees, lists and STRIDE
6. **Model-reality gaps** and real-world outcomes
7. **Design principles** for computer security
8. Why computer security is **hard**
1. Fundamental goals of computer security
2. Computer security policies and attacks
3. Risk, risk assessment, and modeling expected losses
4. Adversary modeling and security analysis
5. Threat modeling: diagrams, trees, lists and STRIDE
6. Model-reality gaps and real-world outcomes
7. Design principles for computer security
8. Why computer security is hard

How should one read a chapter like this?

- Memorize definitions and lists?
  - Maybe? But not all of them…
- Familiarize yourself with common ideas.
- Understand systems that you encounter.
- Recognize and explain mistakes.
Relating Chapter 1 to David (Blase?)’s Research and Consulting Experience
A Running Example: Police Body Cams

- Worn continuously by police while on duty. Records activity to storage.
- Used in court, training, adjudicating complaints, …

These should be “secure” right?  
Where to start?
Start with van Oorschot’s 6 Fundamental Goals?

1. Confidentiality
2. Integrity
3. Authorization
4. Availability
5. Authentication
6. Accountability

Maybe, but probably start with needs of application.

Example partial list:
- Videos should be useful. Good quality and authenticated.
- Videos should not “disappear” when someone wants them to.
- Videos should be accessible “when appropriate”, but otherwise confidential.

Still not clear how to apply goals!
Steps Extracted from Chapter 1

1. Articulate policies surrounding data and other assets.
2. Diagram system in a simple yet useful way.
3. Model adversary categories.
4. Engage in “threat modeling” to enumerate relevant attacks by adversaries against diagrammed system.

Who in the org should actually do this?

Arguably: Organization leadership (CIO/CTO/CISO), middle management, operators, outside stake-holders.
Step 1: Assets

1. Video data
2. Actual cameras
3. Camera configuration equipment
4. Administration server
5. Remote storage account (third party)

More?
Step 1: Policies

1. Video data should only be deleted of X years.
   - Internal process to redact accidental recordings (officer in bathroom)

2. Video should only be accessible with court approval.
   - But administrators will need to be trusted

3. Only authentic videos from official cams should be stored.

4. Police should not be able to turn camera off without being logged.

More?
Step 2: Diagram the System

- Principle components
- Interactions
- Sometimes: “Trust boundaries” (e.g. cloud vs. on-premises)
Step 3: Begin Adversary Modeling

1. Corrupt police officer hiding activity
2. Corrupt police department hiding activity
3. Corrupt administrator spying
4. Criminal trying to delete video
5. Domestic hacker (outsider) seeking videos
6. Insider at body cam vendor planting backdoor
7. Insider at storage provider snooping videos
8. Foreign government-level hackers fomenting distrust of government

More?
Step 4: Threat Modeling

Threat Modeling = Brainstorming Crutch for “What could go wrong?”

Examples:
- STRIDE (Microsoft)
- Attack Trees
- Center of Gravity (CoG)
- PASTA
- DREAD
- ...

threat modeling: designing for security

WILEY
STRIDE Threat Modeling

Brainstorm attacks that fit each of six categories:

- **Spoofing**
- **Tampering**
- **Repudiation**
- **Information disclosure**
- **Denial of service**
- **Elevation of privilege**

- Can search for each type against each component in diagram
- Can search for each type as mounted by adversaries
STRIDE-by-Component Exercise
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Tampering in...
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Chapter 1 Conclusions

• Brainstorming hopefully leads to reasonably complete list of threats.
• Feed them into mitigation strategies (e.g. “use strong passwords”).
• Threat modeling is incomplete, and still relies on experience.
Where does the class go from here?

- We look at security issues in a variety of important settings.
- Aim is for security to be a vehicle itself to learn about hardware, OSes, networking, databases, phones, …
- Issues in Chapter 1 will suffuse through topics, giving us a language to explain designs and mistakes.
- But we won’t engage in systematic threat modeling.
Outline for Lecture 2

• Reflect on Chapter 1

• Run through working example: Police Body Cams
  • Apply concepts from Chapter 1, particularly threat modeling

• Begin OS Security
Review of OS Structure

Security/safety: Must protect processes from each other, protect hardware, ...

Questions, though:
- What distinguishes the kernel from not-kernel?
- What is a process?
How a CPU (x86) Works (extremely high level)

- Memory Access:
  - Reads move word of memory into register
  - Writes move register to memory

Memory Access:
- Reads move word of memory into register
- Writes move register to memory
How a CPU (x86) Works (extremely high level)

Repeat until HALT:

1. Fetch instruction \( \text{inst} \) pointed to by \( \text{EIP} \)
2. Execute logic of \( \text{inst} \)
3. Increment \( \text{EIP} \) (or update it if \( \text{inst}=\text{jmp} \))

In some cases “interrupts” can occur, which change \( \text{EIP} \) to point at interrupt handler (pointed to by a special reg).
Memory Management Unit (MMU)

- MMU translates addresses depending on state
- Input address to MMU are called “virtual addresses”
- MMU set up by executing special instructions
- Amazing tricks: Two different virtual addresses can map to same address, etc…
Isolation in x86: It all comes down to CPL

- **CPL** is “current privilege level”, two designated bits in **CS** register
- If **CPL** = 0: Then processor will execute any instruction
- If **CPL** = 3: Then processor will only execute subset of instructions
Isolation in x86: It all comes down to CPL

- If CPL=0, then CPU **will** allow…
  - Direct access to (almost) any addr
  - Changes to (almost) any register
  - Changes internal state of MMU
  - Including setting CPL=3!

- If CPL=3, then CPU **will not** allow…
  - Direct access to memory (only via MMU)
  - Changes to several registers
  - Changes to internal state of MMU
  - Setting CPL=0 (!)

**Big Idea**: Kernel runs with CPL=0, and all other programs run with CPL=3.
Back to our diagram…

Questions, though:
- What distinguishes the kernel from not-kernel?
- What is a process?

The CPL!
What is a process?

- **One Answer**: A data structure in “kernel memory”, including
  - MMU configuration
  - Register values
  - Kernel can load these values up, set CPL=3, and turn over control “to the process” (i.e. set EIP)
  - If kernel regains control, it can save these values to swap process out
Kernel creates a “virtual address space” for each process.

Same virtual addresses (e.g. starting near 0) can be used by every process! They get translated to different physical addresses.

Kernel can also mark some virtual address ranges (called segments) as “read only” or “do not execute” (EIP not allowed to point there).

Violations are SEGFAULTs: MMU will take over in this case.
• Kernel can also map same memory into several processes’ virtual address space
• Ex: Code for `malloc` is not copied for every process.
Handling Memory for a Process (cont.)

- Kernel configures MMU to translate addresses for proc1:
  - Read/Write/Execute to memory specific to proc1
  - Read/Execute access to libc
  - Possibly other special “segments”
- No access to memory to Kernel or proc2 memory!
  - They’re not even mapped; MMU will never allows access!
• A process (i.e. code running with CPL=3) often needs to do privileged actions that the CPU won’t allow directly
  • e.g. access device, write output, spawn new process, …
• System calls allow this. They work roughly as follows:
  • Process sets up arguments in pre-defined registers
  • Then process executes instruction `int 0x80`
  • CPU will set `CPL=0` and jump to kernel handler
Next Time

- The UNIX security model (users/root, file/process permissions, …)
- Running an executable: Function calls and “the stack”
- Begin control hijacking (i.e. how all this nice work falls apart!)

The End